



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,185	12/01/2003	Robert A. Bettigole	084158-0135 9846 EXAMINER	
26371 75	90 08/17/2006			
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP			LAUX, JESSICA L	
=	777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5306		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ	,		3635	
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006	ξ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/725,185	BETTIGOLE ET AL.		
Office A	ction Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jessica Laux	3635		
The MAILING Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED ST WHICHEVER IS LO - Extensions of time may lafter SIX (6) MONTHS fr - If NO period for reply is st - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	DNGER, FROM THE MAILING D be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 om the mailing date of this communication. specified above, the maximum statutory period e set or extended period for reply will, by statute	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONEI g date of this communication, even if timely filed	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive t	o communication(s) filed on 30 J	<u>une 2006</u> .			
2a) This action is	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this ap	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in acc	ordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above 5) Claim(s) <u>18-1</u> 6) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> 7) Claim(s) <u>21-2</u>	B is/are pending in the application ove claim(s) is/are withdra 19 is/are allowed. Tand 20 is/are rejected. B is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
10) The drawing(s Applicant may Replacement of	not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correc	er. septed or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj xaminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgm a) All b) S 1. Certifie 2. Certifie 3. Copies applica	nent is made of a claim for foreign from the copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority from the International Burea	ts have been received in Application in the second in the	on No ed in this National Stage		
	Cited (PTO-892) 's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) s Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🔲 Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 30, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Bettigole et al. does not disclose a top component fixed to said grating base member where the top component is in compression in the direction normal to the main bearing bars. The examiner disagrees, as the top component is in compression when service loads are applied, where the service loads are applied in a direction normal to the main bearing bars (in the downward direction).

Applicant further argues that claim 1 has been amended to clarify that the top component is in compression independent of being under any service loads. Examiner notes that the amendment of claim 1 is not indicative of such a clarification. The amendment to the claim merely makes the claim broader in regards to the compression of the top component.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 5 and 6-17 (as being dependent on claims 4 and 5) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite in regards to how the top component compression is the result of both service loads and prestressing (claim 4) or post-tensioning (claim 5).

Art Unit: 3635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bettigole et al. (5664378).

In regards to claims 1-3: Bettigole et al. teaches a structural element comprising: a grating base member (12) formed solely by a plurality of main bearing bars and without distribution or tertiary bars (Col. 5, lines 52-54), said main bearing bars spaced to define interstices there between, said main bearing bars having an upper portion (25) and a bottom portion (22); a top component (14) fixed to said grating base member, said top component being in compression (the compression being a result of service loads, by definition of a service load, the top component will be in compression when such load is applied) in the direction normal to the main bearing bars, said top component having a planar top surface (36) and a planar bottom surface (38), said planar bottom surface of said top component being substantially above the bottom portion of said main bearing bar so that said top component does not fill the interstices of said grating base member (Col. 4, lines 34-39); said upper portions of said plurality of main bearing bars defining a shear transfer element, and said shear transfer element embedded within said top component (Col. 3, lines 31-40).

Application/Control Number: 10/725,185

Art Unit: 3635

Claims 2 and 3 further disclose the process of "providing" compression by prestressing or post-tensioning. It should be noted that claims 2 and 3 are considered a product-by-process claim. The patentability of the product does not depend on its method of production. Determination of patentability is based on the product itself. See MPEP 2113. If the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the same prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed.Cir.1985).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bettigole et al (5664378).

Claim 20 merely recites the obvious method of making the structural element of claim 1 above. As the element of claim 1 has all of the structural limitations of claim 20 it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the structural element of claim 1 with the method of claim 20, as it is the obvious method of making the structural element.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18-19 are allowed.

Claims 21-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica Laux whose telephone number is 571-272-8228. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30am to 4:00pm (est).

Application/Control Number: 10/725,185 Page 6

Art Unit: 3635

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Naoko Slack can be reached on 571-272-6848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

 \mathcal{Q}

JL 08/07/2006